Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/717,676	BARTZ ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tuan A Vu	2124
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>Tuan A Vu</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Ken Eierman</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 03 February 2005.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2)⊡ applicant's representative	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>n/a</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant's representative noted that a incomplete NPL reference (missing pages)</u> was received as part of the cited documents by Examiner's Office Action. Examiner has faxed over the full reference of 6 pages to indicated fax number.		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
•		
		•
	- Juan	mhe
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		ature, if required